United States District Court

for the Northern District of Texas

United States of America)	
V.) Case No	3:17-CR-499-M
ERIC GERARD McGINNIS Defendant)	

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

✓ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or ✓ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable
presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
and the community because the following conditions have been met:
\square (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
☐ (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
\square (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
\Box (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
\Box (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
☐ (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was
committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the
defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

Case 3:17-cr-00499-M Document 12 Filed 10/16/17 Page 2 of 3 PageID 19

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

☐ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
☐ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
☐ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 year or more is prescribed;
☐ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
☐ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
☐ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
☐ Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
History of violence or use of weapons
☑ History of alcohol or substance abuse
☐ Lack of stable employment
☐ Lack of stable residence
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties

Case 3:17-cr-00499-M Document 12 Filed 10/16/17 Page 3 of 3 PageID 20

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
☐ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
☐ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents
☐ Background information unknown or unverified
☐ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Print

As stated on the record at the hearing, the credible evidence established that Defendant possessed an illegal, homemade rifle while under a state protective order issued in a domestic violence case. Not only was Defendant prohibited under the protective order from possessing a firearm, but he had been previously contacted by officials with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives, following a failed attempt to purchase firearm components, and advised that he was prohibited from possessing a firearm, yet he did so anyway.

At the time of his arrest, Defendant advised police officers, as he subsequently did the Pretrial Services Officer, that he is affiliated with the United States Central Intelligence Agency, while that agency disavows any such relationship. Defendant also advised the Pretrial Services Officer that he sometimes uses the pseudonym "Eric the Ruler." The Court finds that such seemingly irrational behavior is consistent with reports that Defendant suffers from serious mental health problems, for which he voluntarily ceased treatment, including prescribed medication.

That Defendant also had in his possession at the time of his arrest a document that mentioned "911 terrorists" and listed the names and purported addresses of current and former government officials is, in light of all the foregoing, further evidence of the serious risk of danger Defendant poses to the community and/or another. While there was evidence that Defendant's parents were willing to allow him to reside in their home and serve as third-party custodians if he is released from custody, the fact that Defendant lived in their home during the approximate year he possessed the illegal rifle and they were unaware of his activities and the likelihood of serious mental health problems, suggests that living with, and being monitored by, the parents, even with the use of location monitoring and requirement of mental health treatment, would be insufficient to mitigate the serious risk of danger Defendant poses.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General	l or to the Attorn	ey General's	designated representat	ive for
confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practic	cable, from person	ons awaiting	or serving sentences or	being
held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afford	ed a reasonable	opportunity	for private consultation	n with
defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on	request of an at	ttorney for th	ne Government, the per	son in
charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a	United States M	arshal for the	e purpose of an appeara	ince in
connection with a court proceeding.	^	/ ^		

Date: 10/16/2017 United States Magistrate Judge

Save As...

Reset